TALE GUIDELINES

2. LOW EMISSION ZONES / LIMITED TRAFFIC ZONES (LEZ/LTZ)

Policy summary

Low Emission Zones (LEZs) are areas where access could be restricted/banned to the most polluting vehicles. Otherwise, vehicles whose emission are over a set level, might be charged. Those measures aim at improving air quality by deterring users of the most polluting vehicles from driving in the area. In general, the vehicles affected are lorries, buses and coaches, vans and other heavy vehicles that do not meet specific emission standards (e.g. Euro IV emission standard for Particulate Matter); in some cases, also older engined cars and motorcycles are affected. Most LEZs operate 24/7, but there can be exceptions. Limited traffic zones (LTZs) are restricted traffic areas. In order to access those areas, vehicles owned by particular categories (i.e. residents, business owners, etc.) must have a special authorization. It is necessary to control and enforce the access to the area to ensure that the measures are being respected.

SWOT Analysis

 Strengths Reduction of environmental impacts Urban quality Reduction of congestion 	 Weaknesses Efficient public transport required Re-routing of traffic flows on external road network (possible traffic increase outside the charged area, especially at the beginning) Possible negative economic impacts in the short term Control system implementation and management (gates, authorized vehicles lists) Access control: possibility of non- compliance Difficulties in monitoring the effective pages of the policy. 	 Air pollution or GH gas Land-use/urban planning/ landscape Traffic noise Congestion Traditional fiscal instrument Accidents, transport safety Public transport subsidies support Infrastructure investment Users' behaviour National
	effectiveness of the policy	 Regional Provincial/Metropolitan a Municipal
Opportunities	Threats	
• Renewal of the vehicle fleet	Expensive investments in	External costs
 Educational effects Possibility of integration with congestion charging Promotion of modal shift outside the city center 	 complementary transport Legislative framework Public acceptance Vehicle selection issues; definition of the policy in relation with actual and specific polluting emissions levels 	 Congestion and scarcity Accidents Air pollution (human health, material damages, nature) Noise Climate change Urban space Nature and landscape



Policy topic

- nts

- area
 - +++

+









Methodological suggestions

Cost component	External cost	Cost elements	Cost function/ drivers	Suggested estimation techniques	Data needed	Critical valuation issues
		disamenity	type of infrastructure		speed/flow relation	speed/flow
		safety	amount and composition of traffic flow	WTP/WTA to estimate the	demand elasaticity	relation
Concestion		travel time (purpose, mode of transport lenght for passenger trips; mode of transport and commodity type for freight)	kind of network (urban, interurban, metropolitan - nº lanes)	value of time in case of congestion	marginal social cost	value of time
and	'increase in travel time x value of time x traffic volume	depreciation			level of traffic	opportunity cost
scarcity		additional fuel costs	capacity level over time			
		environmental costs		WTP to estimate costs due to scarcity	capacity	
		direct and induced delay	cost increased marginally with traffic and depending on the situation			
		opportunity cost	(time-place)			
			traffic level	repair cost	emission data per kind of pollutant	damages quantification
	'Air pollution costs are caused by the	lamages to buildings location - exposure	location - exposure			
Air pollution	NOx, SO ₂ and VOC. Air pollution costs	damages to agriculture	population and settlement density		vehicle mix	
	and private households.	damages to human health	kind of engine - alimentation		network data	
		damages to ecosystems	driver characteristics			
	Noise can be defined as the	annoyance	the annoyance depends on the traffic level	WTP hedonic price for noise reduction	noise exposure data	noise indicator
Noise	physiological or psychological harm		resident population and density			
	to humans. It is recommended to take vulnerable groups, like children	effect on health	time of exposure			evaluaton of annoyance
	and elderly, into account.	property value loss				
"Climate change is a long term a global risk. A differentiated appr	"Climate change is a long term and global risk. A differentiated approach	preventive measures	emission level dependent on traffic level and kind of propellant	avoidance cost approach or damage cost approach	emission data per kind of pollutant	damages quantification over time
Climate	the avoidance strategy) is necessary.		type of vehicle and equipment in use			
change	included. Impacts of transport are	damages induced by climate	speed			trend pollution/ altitude
	greenhouse gases CO ₂ , N ₂ O, CH ₄ ."	rainstorms, tornados)	driving style			
Urban	"Motorised traffic in urban areas has	separation costs for pedestrians	type of infrastructure	to estimate damages to pedestrian traffic, the additional waiting toime is to be measured infrastructure	infrastructure	evaluation process
space	traffic participants (pedestrians,		level of traffic	compensation cost approach	network in urban areas database	
	cyclists, etc.).	costs due to scarcity	decency increase/decrease	to compute scarcity		

Recommendations / Comments

Technical feasibility Easy Public acceptance Difficult Equity Partial

Low emission zones (LEZ) have proven to be a successful way to improve air quality in line with EU regulations. A low emission zone will not instantaneously and directly reduce traffic, but it can significantly increase the pressure to switch to environmentally friendly vehicles or to retrofit with exhaust after treatment technology, like particle matters traps. The identification of the real impact of LEZs on air quality is a difficult task. Changes in the weather conditions are relevant for dispersion and dilution of emitted pollutants from traffic also have a large impact on measured pollution levels, irrespective of any changes in the emissions; likewise, any variation in traffic volumes in the air quality monitoring sites need to be taken into account as such changes are barely related to the LEZ effectiveness. The overall impact of LEZ-LTZ zones may be too small or irregular and affecting too few passing vehicles. In addition, the increase in new diesel cars could have overestimated positive impacts by causing a rise in some emissions, especially particle matter. The recent economic downturn could also have caused a general fall in traffic-related emissions, making it harder to detect a LEZ-related effect. LEZs are potentially able to reduce pollutants but it would appear that they may need to be applied in more stringent way and implemented jointly with local complementary policies, such as traffic mitigation strategies, in order to reduce pollutant emissions to a greater level.

Related Good Practices

- London LEZ
- Area C Limited traffic zone in Milan
- LEZ in Berlin
- Limited traffic zone in Bologna





